arXiv:0909.1005v6 [math.GT] 15 Jul 2010

ALGEBRAIC CHARACTERIZATION OF THE ISOMETRIES OF THE COMPLEX AND QUATERNIONIC HYPERBOLIC PLANE

WENSHENG CAO AND KRISHNENDU GONGOPADHYAY

Abstract. Let $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ denote the two dimensional hyperbolic space over $\mathbb{F}$, where $\mathbb{F}$ denote either of $\mathbb{C}$ or the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$. It is of potential interest to have an algebraic characterization of the dynamical types of the isometries. For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, such a characterization is known due to the work of Giraud-Goldman. In this paper, we offer an algebraic characterization of the isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{H}}$. Our result also restricts to the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and provides another characterization of the isometries which is different from the one due to Giraud-Goldman.

Two elements in a group G are said to be in the same *z-class* if their centralizers are conjugate in G. The z-classes give a finite partition of the isometry group. In this paper we describe the centralizers of the isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and those determine the z-classes. In fact, we explicitly compute the number of the z-classes.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}$ denote one of the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$, complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ or the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$. Let $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ denote the hyperbolic n-space over $\mathbb{F}$. The underlying linear group which acts as the isometries is denoted by $U(1, n; \mathbb{F})$. It is conventional that $U(1, n; \mathbb{F})$ is denoted by $PO(n, 1)$, resp. $U(n, 1)$, resp. $Sp(n, 1)$ for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, resp. $\mathbb{C}$, resp. $\mathbb{H}$. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, the group of consideration is the group of all orientation-preserving isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathbb{R}}$, we denote it by $PO_o(n, 1)$. The actual group of the isometries is the quotient of the respective group by its center, cf. Chen-Greenberg [4]. For a group G, let PG denote the quotient by its center, i.e. $PG = G/Z(G)$.

It is well known that the rank-one symmetric spaces of non-compact type are the real, complex and quaternionic hyperbolic spaces and the Cayley hyperbolic planes. Real hyperbolic geometry is very familiar, complex hyperbolic geometry less so, but still enjoys to be a central subject of research cf. Goldman [8], Parker-Platis [18]. The quaternionic hyperbolic space is relatively less studied. This is, perhaps, for the noncommutative multiplication of the quaternions. Recently an attempt has been made by Kim-Parker [12] to understand the quaternionic hyperbolic geometry.

The algebraic characterization of the isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are foundational in the real hyperbolic geometry. To obtain this, one indentifies the isometries with the invertible 2×2 matrices over the reals and the complex numbers respectively. Then the dynamics of the isometries are classified in terms of the function $\frac{trace^2}{det}$, cf. Beardon [2, Theorems 4.3.1 and 4.3.4], Gongopadhyay-Kulkarni [10, Appendix-1]. Algebraic characterization of the isometries of the real hyperbolic 4-space can be obtained from the work of Cao-Parker-Wang [3] or Foreman [6]. An alternative characterization of the isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{4}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ may also be obtained by restricting the work of Ahlfors [1] and Waterman [21] to the quaternions.

There are two remarkable Lie theoretic isomorphisms which identify $PU(1, 1)$ and $PSp(1, 1)$ with the isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{4}}_{\mathbb{R}}$, respectively. As a consequence of these isomorphisms, the algebraic characterization of the isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbb{C}}$, resp. $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbb{H}}$, is obtained from the results in low dimensional real hyperbolic geometry.

Date: July 14, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 51M10; Secondary 32V05, 53C35.

Key words and phrases. isometry, complex and quaternionic hyperbolic space, z-class, conjugacy.

The research of Cao is supported by NSFs of China (No.10801107, No.10671004) and NSF of Guangdong Province (No.8452902001000043).

During a part of this work Gongopadhyay was supported by a visiting fellowship at the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai (India). He thanks the Tata Institute for the hospitality and support during the course of the work.

Many of the questions that can be asked for the isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{R}}$, can as well be asked for the isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{H}}$. Many of the analogous questions involving isometries of the two dimensional complex hyperbolic space have been answered by several authors cf. Goldman [8], Parker-Platis [18], Schwartz [19]. In particular, a counterpart of the above results is available for $SU(2,1)$. An algebraic characterization of the isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ was first obtained by Giraud [7]. Significant improvement of Giraud's result was obtained by Goldman [8, Theorem 6.2.4].

In this paper we offer an algebraic characterization of the isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{H}}$. This gives an effective algorithm to detect the dynamical types of the isometries, and may be considered as a counterpart of Goldman's theorem in the quaternionic hyperbolic setting. In order to state our main result, we recall a refined classification of isometries in $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{H}}$ at first.

The Main Theorem. Assume $\mathbb{F}$ to be either of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$. When $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{H}$, the underlying Hermitian space $\mathbb{H}^{2,1}$ is always assumed to be a *right* vector space over $\mathbb{H}$. Accordingly, the eigenvalues of g in $U(1,2;\mathbb{H})$ are assumed to be the right eigenvalues.

Let g be an isometry of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. This lifts to a unitary transformation $\tilde{g}$ in $U(1,2;\mathbb{F})$ and in the projective model, the fixed points of g on $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ corresponds to (right) eigenvectors of $\tilde{g}$. In the following, we shall forget the 'tilde' from the lift of an isometry, and shall use the same symbol for both. When $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{H}$, note that g has similarity classes of eigenvalues. In this context, an eigenvalue of g is to be understood as a similarity class of eigenvalues. We say *two eigenvalues of g are different* if the similarity classes of the eigenvalues are disjoint. If two eigenvalues have the same similarity class, then they are equal. Further note that each similarity class of eigenvalues contains a unique pair of complex conjugate numbers. We further adopt the convention of choosing the eigenvalue $re^{i\theta}, 0\leq\theta\leq\pi$ from the similarity class, and we identify the similarity class with this complex eigenvalue.

By the Brouwer fixed-point theorem, every isometry of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ has a fixed point in $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{F}}\cup\partial\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. An isometry g is *elliptic* if it has a fixed point in $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{F}}$, *parabolic* if it has a unique fixed point on $\partial\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{F}}$, *hyperbolic* if it is non-elliptic and has exactly two fixed points on the $\partial\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. An elliptic element g is *regular elliptic* if all its eigenvalues are distinct, it is a *complex elliptic*, (or a *complex reflection*) if it has two distinct eigenvalues. If all the eigenvalues of g are equal then we call it a *simple elliptic.* Note that simple elliptics occur only if $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{H}$. In the complex case, they belong to the center of the group and hence act as the identity.

Suppose g is hyperbolic. It follows from the conjugacy classification that it has a complex eigenvalue outside the unit circle, and one eigenvalue inside the unit circle. The other eigenvalue lies on the unit circle. An hyperbolic isometry g is called *regular hyperbolic* if it has a non-real eigenvalue whose norm is different from 1. We call g *strictly hyperbolic* if all its eigenvalues are real. We call g a *screw hyperbolic* if it has two real eigenvalues and its non-real eigenvalue lies on the unit circle. Note that screw hyperbolics occur only if $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{H}$. When $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$, the action of the regular hyperbolics and the screw hyperbolics on $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are dynamically indifferent, and following Goldman, we call them *loxodromic.*

Suppose g is parabolic. If g is unipotent, i.e. all its eigenvalues are 1, then it is called a *vertical Heisenberg translation* or *non-vertical Heisenberg translation* according as the minimal polynomial of g has degree 2 or 3. If g has a non-real eigenvalue of multiplicity three, then it is called an *ellipto-translation*, resp. *ellipto-parabolic* if the minimal polynomial has degree 2, resp. 3. When $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$, these classes do not occur, as their action on $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ will be the same as the vertical or non-vertical Heisenberg translation. When g has two different eigenvalues, then it is called a *screw parabolic.*

We use of the embedding of $\mathbb{H}$ into 2×2 complex matrices $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. This gives an embedding $A\mapsto A_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $Sp(2,1)$ into $GL(6,\mathbb{C})$. Using this embedding we obtain the following characterization of the isometries.

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be an element in $Sp(2,1)$. Let $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the corresponding element in $GL(6,\mathbb{C})$. The characteristic polynomials of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is of the form*

$$\chi_A(x) = x^6 - ax^5 + bx^4 - cx^3 + bx^2 - ax + 1,$$

where a, b, c are real numbers. Define

$$G = 27(a-c) + 9ab - 2a^3,$$

$$H = 3(b-3) - a^2,$$

$$\Delta = G^2 + 4H^3.$$

Then we have the following.

1. A acts as a regular hyperbolic if and only if $\Delta > 0$.

2. A acts as a strictly hyperbolic or screw hyperbolic if and only if $\Delta = 0$, $G \neq 0$, and $a > 6,\ b > 15,\ c > 20$. Further A is a strictly hyperbolic if and only if $16(a+c)^2 = (a^2+4b+8)^2$.

3. A acts as an elliptic or parabolic if and only if $\Delta \leq 0$ and $|a| < 6$, $|b| < 15$ and $|c| < 20$.

- (i) *A acts as a regular elliptic if and only if $\Delta < 0$.*
- (ii) *A acts as a complex elliptic or screw parabolic if and only if $\Delta = 0$ and $G \neq 0$. Further, A acts as a screw parabolic if and only if the degree of the minimal polynomial of A, or $A_{\mathbb{C}}$, is 3.*
- (iii) *A acts as a simple elliptic, ellipto-translation or ellipto-parabolic if and only if $\Delta = 0$ and $G = 0$. Further, A acts as a simple elliptic, resp. ellipto-translation, resp. ellipto-parabolic if and only if the degree of the minimal polynomial of A, or $A_{\mathbb{C}}$, is 1, resp. 2, resp. 3.*
- (iv) *A acts as a vertical or non-vertical Heisenberg translation if and only if $a = 6, b = 15$ and $c = 20$. Further A is a vertical, resp. a non-vertical Heisenberg translation if and only if the degree of the minimal polynomial is 2, resp. 3.*

One advantage of our method is that it applies also to $SU(2,1)$. In this case the above embedding restricts to the embeding $A \mapsto A_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $SU(2,1)$ into $GL(6,\mathbb{R})$. This provides another algebraic characterization of the isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is different from the charaterzation by Giraud-Goldman cf. [8, Theorem 6.2.4].

Corollary 1.2. *Let A be an element in $SU(2,1)$ Let $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the corresponding element in $GL(6,\mathbb{R})$. The characteristic polynomials of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is of the form*

$$\chi_A(x) = x^6 - ax^5 + bx^4 - cx^3 + bx^2 - ax + 1.$$

Define

$$G = 27(a-c) + 9ab - 2a^3,$$

$$H = 3(b-3) - a^2,$$

$$\Delta = G^2 + 4H^3.$$

Then we have the following.

(i) A acts as a regular hyperbolic if and only if $\Delta > 0$.

(ii) A acts as a regular elliptic if and only if $\Delta < 0$.

(iii) A acts as a complex elliptic or screw parabolic if and only if $\Delta = 0$ and $G \neq 0$. Further, A acts as a screw parabolic if and only if the degree of the minimal polynomial of A, or $A_{\mathbb{C}}$, is 3.

(iv) A acts as a strictly hyperbolic or screw hyperbolic if and only if $\Delta = 0$, $G \neq 0$ and $a > 6$, $b > 15$, $c > 20$. Further A is a strictly hyperbolic if and only if $16(a+c)^2 = (a^2+4b+8)^2$.

(v) A is a unipotent if and only if $\Delta = 0$, $G = 0$, $|a| < 6$, $|b| < 15$, $|c| < 20$. It is a vertical, or a non-vertical Heisenberg translation according as the degree of the minimal polynomial is 2 or 3.

The z-Classes. Two elements x, y in a group G are said to be in the same z-class if their centralizers are conjugate in G. This notion, and terminology, are due to Kulkarni [13]. The z-classes in a group give a partition of G, and they refine the partition of G into conjugacy classes. In the case of compact Lie groups, and many other cases, there are only *finitely many* z-classes. The notion is defined in terms of the group-structure alone. But Kulkarni has proposed in [13] that this notion may be used to make precise the intuitive idea of "dynamical types" in any "geometry", whose automorphism group contains a copy of G. If the z-classes are finitely many in number, then they provide a finite classification of the group of transformations. Thus the partition of the group into its z-classes provide another algebraic characterization of the isometries, and this is based on the internal structure of the isometry group alone.

Using the linear model of the hyperbolic space, the z-classes in $PO(n,1)$ have been classified by Gongopadhyay-Kulkarni [10]. In particular this gives a classification of the z-classes of the isometries of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. In this paper, we classify the centralizers, and the z-classes in $U(2,1)$ and $Sp(2,1)$. In fact, we compute their number.

Theorem 1.3. *(1) In the group $Sp(2,1)$, there are eleven z-classes of elliptic elements, six z-classes of hyperbolic elements, two z-classes of unipotent elements and eight z-classes of parabolic non-unipotent elements. In this count we consider the identity map as an elliptic element.*

(2) In the group $U(2,1)$, there are four z-classes of elliptic elements, a unique z-class of hyperbolic elements, two z-classes of unipotent elements and four z-classes of parabolic non-unipotent elements. In this count we consider the identity map as an elliptic element.

The structure of the remainder of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we note some preliminary results. The conjugacy classes are crucial in the proof of the above theorems, and they are classified in section 3. We prove our main theorem, viz. Theorem 1.1, in section 4. We classify the centralizers and prove Theorem 1.3 in section 5.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **The Hyperbolic Space.** Assume $\mathbb{F}$ to be either of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$. Let $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}^{n,1}$ be a right vector space of dimension $n+1$ over $\mathbb{F}$. The subspaces of $\mathbb{V}$ are always assumed to be right subspaces unless stated otherwise. Let $\mathbf{z}$ denote the column vector in $\mathbb{V}$ with entries $z_0,\ z_1,\ z_2, \ldots.., z_n$. Let $\mathbb{V}$ be equipped with the Hermitian form

$$\langle \mathbf{z},\, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \mathbf{z}^* J_1 \mathbf{w} = -\overline{z_0} w_0 + \overline{z_1} w_1 + \overline{z_2} w_2 + \ldots + \overline{z_n} w_n,$$

where $\cdot^*$ denotes the Hermitian transpose and $J_1 = diag(-1,1,1,\ldots,1)$. An *isometry* g is an automorphism of $\mathbb{V}$, that is, a (right) linear bijection such that for all $\mathbf{z}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ in $\mathbb{V}$, $\langle g(\mathbf{z}),\, g(\mathbf{w}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{z},\, \mathbf{w} \rangle$. The group of all isometries is denoted by $U(n,1;\mathbb{F})$. The group of isometries of the Hermitian form

$$Q(z,w) = \overline{z_1} w_1 + \overline{z_2} w_2 + \ldots + \overline{z_n} w_n$$

is denoted by $U(n;\mathbb{F})$. Abbreviating the symbols, we denote $U(n,\mathbb{C}) = U(n)$, $U(n,\mathbb{H}) = Sp(n)$, $U(n,1;\mathbb{C}) = U(n,1)$ and $U(n,1;\mathbb{H}) = Sp(n,1)$.

Our interest in this paper is the case when $n=2$. Following Section 2 of Chen-Greenberg [4], let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}_0 &= \Big\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V}^{2,1} - \{0\} : \langle \mathbf{z},\, \mathbf{z} \rangle = 0 \Big\} \\ \mathbb{V}_- &= \Big\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V}^{2,1} : \langle \mathbf{z},\, \mathbf{z} \rangle < 0 \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $\mathbb{V}_0$ and $\mathbb{V}_-$ are invariant under $U(2,1;\mathbb{F})$. Let $\mathbb{V}^s = \mathbb{V}_- \cup \mathbb{V}_0$. Let $P : \mathbb{V}^s \to P(\mathbb{V}^s) \subset \mathbb{V}^2$ be the right projection map defined by

$$P(z_0, z_1, z_2)^t = (z_1 z_0^{-1}, z_2 z_0^{-1})^t,$$

where $\cdot^t$ denotes the transpose. We define $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{V}_-)$. The boundary of the hyperbolic space is $\partial \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{0}})$.

It is convenient to introduce the Cayley transform

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}/2 & -\sqrt{2}/2 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}/2 & \sqrt{2}/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Cayley transformation C maps $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbf{2}}$ and its boundary $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbf{2}}$ to the Siegel domain $\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^2$ and its boundary $\partial\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^2$, respectively. The Hermitian form transforms to

$$\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = -(\overline{z_0}w_1 + \overline{z_1}w_0) + \overline{z_2}w_2.$$

The isometry group of the above form is $\hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F}) = CU(2,1;\mathbb{F})C^{-1}$,

Proposition 2.1. (1) If $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & l \end{pmatrix} \in U(2,1;\mathbb{F})$, then $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & -\bar{d} & -\bar{g} \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{e} & \bar{h} \\ -\bar{c} & \bar{f} & \bar{l} \end{pmatrix}$.

(2) If $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & l \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F})$, then $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{e} & \bar{b} & -\bar{h} \\ \bar{d} & \bar{a} & -\bar{g} \\ -\bar{f} & -\bar{c} & \bar{l} \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $o = C(-f_1)$, $\infty = C(f_1) \in \partial\Sigma$, where $f_1 = (1,0) \in \partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbf{2}}$. Let

$$G_0 = \{g \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F}) : g(o) = o\},\ G_\infty = \{g \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F}) : g(\infty) = \infty\},\ G_{0,\infty} = G_0 \cap G_\infty.$$

Then we have the following three propositions, cf. Chen-Greenberg [4, Lemma 3.3.1].

Proposition 2.2. If $A \in G_\infty$, then A is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ d & e & f \\ g & 0 & l \end{pmatrix},\ \text{where } |l| = 1,\ \bar{a}e = 1,\ \Re(\bar{a}d) = \frac{1}{2}|g|^2,\ f = e\bar{g}l. \tag{2.1}$$

Proposition 2.3. If $A \in G_0$, then A is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & h & l \end{pmatrix},\ \text{where } |l| = 1,\ \bar{a}e = 1,\ \Re(\bar{e}b) = \frac{1}{2}|h|^2,\ c = a\bar{h}l. \tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.4. If $A \in G_{0,\infty}$, then A is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & l \end{pmatrix},\ \text{where } |l| = 1,\ \bar{a}e = 1. \tag{2.3}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $A \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F})$ be of the form (3.3). Then*
(i) A acts as an elliptic isometry if and only if

$$rank \begin{pmatrix} f & d \\ e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} - e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & g \end{pmatrix} = rank \begin{pmatrix} f \\ e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} - e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} \end{pmatrix};$$

(ii) A acts as a parabolic isometry if and only if

$$rank \begin{pmatrix} f & d \\ e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} - e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & g \end{pmatrix} \neq rank \begin{pmatrix} f \\ e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} - e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let g be the isometry corresponding to A in the Siegel domain model. The isometry g acts on $\overline{\Sigma^2_{\mathbb{F}}}$ as follows.

$$g\begin{pmatrix}\eta_1\\ \eta_2\end{pmatrix}=e^{-\mathbf{i}\theta}\begin{pmatrix}e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & f\\ 0 & e^{\mathbf{i}\phi}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\eta_1\\ \eta_2\end{pmatrix}+e^{-\mathbf{i}\theta}\begin{pmatrix}d\\ g\end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus g has a fixed point $\eta=(\eta_1,\eta_2)^t$ if and only if the following set of equations has a solution.

$$\begin{pmatrix}0 & f\\ 0 & e^{\mathbf{i}\phi}-e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\eta_1\\ \eta_2\end{pmatrix}=-\begin{pmatrix}d\\ g\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

It follows from basic linear algebra that (2.4) has a solution if and only if the condition in (i) holds. Clearly g is elliptic if and only if (2.4) has a solution. Otherwise, it is parabolic.

The proof is now complete. ☐

Lemma 2.6. *The group $Sp(2,1)$ can be embedded in the group $GL(6,\mathbb{C})$.*

The proof is similar to that of Proposition-2.4 in Gongopadhyay [9, p-160], also see Lee [14, section-2] or Zhang [22, section-2]. We sketch the proof here.

Proof. Write $\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathbf{j}\mathbb{C}$. For $A\in Sp(2,1)$, express $A=A_1+\mathbf{j}A_2$, where $A_1,A_2\in M_3(\mathbb{C})$. This gives an embedding $A\mapsto A_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $Sp(2,1)$ into $GL(6,\mathbb{C})$, where

$$A_{\mathbb{C}}=\begin{pmatrix}A_1 & -\overline{A_2}\\ A_2 & \overline{A_1}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

☐

Similarly, for $A\in SU(2,1)$, one can express $A=A_1+A_2\mathbf{i}$, where $A_1,A_2\in M_3(\mathbb{R})$ and we have

$$A_{\mathbb{R}}=\begin{pmatrix}A_1 & -A_2\\ A_2 & A_1\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.6}$$

2.2. **Preliminaries on roots of polynomials.** Here we note down a few facts about the nature of solutions of real cubic equations. These results are used in the derivation of our main theorem. For more details on this section cf. Nickalls et. al. [16, 17].

2.2.1. *Resultant of two polynomials.* Let $R(f,g)$ denote the resultant of two polynomials $f(x)$ ad $g(x)$ over the reals. The *resultant* of a polynomial $f(x)$ is, up to a scalar factor, the resultant $R(f,f')$. Recall that the polynomial $f(x)$ has a multiple root if and only if the resultant is zero. The polynomial has a triple root if and only if the resultant $R(f,f'')$ is zero, and so on.

2.2.2. *Nature of solutions of a cubic equation.* Let $f(x)=ax^3+3bx^2+3cx+d$ be a polynomial over the reals. Let $G=a^2d-3abc+2b^3$, $H=ac-b^2$, and $\Delta=G^2+4H^3$. Then the type of the roots of the equation $f(x)=0$ can be detected as follows.

(i) If $\Delta>0$, then only root of the equation is real, and the other two are complex conjugates.

(ii) If $\Delta<0$, then all the roots of the equation are real and distinct.

(iii) If $\Delta=0$, then the roots are real, and at least two of them are equal. The equation has a triple real root if and only if the resultant $R(f,f'')$ vanishes.

3. The Conjugacy Classification

Theorem 3.1. *(i) Suppose* $A \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F})$ *acts as a hyperbolic element. Then* A *is conjugate to an isometry of the form*

$$L = L(\beta,\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} re^{\mathbf{i}\beta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r^{-1}e^{\mathbf{i}\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} \end{pmatrix},\ r>0,\ r \neq 1, \tag{3.1}$$

when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$, $0 \leq \beta, \theta \leq \pi$. *For* $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $-\pi \leq \beta,\ \theta \leq \pi$.

(ii) Suppose $A \in U(2,1;\mathbb{F})$ *acts as an elliptic element. Then* A *is conjugate to an isometry of the form*

$$E = E(\theta,\phi,\psi) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\mathbf{i}\psi} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.2}$$

when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$, $0 \leq \theta, \phi, \psi \leq \pi$, *and when* $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $-\pi \leq \theta, \phi, \psi \leq \pi$.

(iii) Suppose $A \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F})$ *acts as a parabolic element. Then* A *is conjugate to an isometry of the form*

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ d & e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & f \\ g & 0 & e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $d \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, $f, g \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(e^{-\mathbf{i}\theta}d) = \frac{1}{2}|g|^2, f = e^{\mathbf{i}(\theta+\phi)}\bar{g}$, $0 \leq \theta,\ \phi \leq \pi$, *(resp.* $-\pi \leq \theta,\ \phi \leq \pi$*) for* $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$, *(resp.* $\mathbb{C}$*), and*

$$rank \begin{pmatrix} f & d \\ e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} - e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & g \end{pmatrix} \neq rank \begin{pmatrix} f \\ e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} - e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We shall prove the theorem over $\mathbb{H}$. The proof over $\mathbb{C}$ is completely analogous. We follow Chen-Greenberg [4, section-3] while deriving the conjugacy classes.

Proof. If $A \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{H})$ is loxodromic, then A has two fixed points on $\partial\Sigma^2_{\mathbb{H}}$. By conjugation, if necessary, we may assume that A is of the form (2.3). Let u, v be quaternions of unit modulus such that $l = ve^{\mathbf{i}\theta}v^{-1}$ and $a = ure^{\mathbf{i}\beta}u^{-1}$, where $r > 0, r \neq 1, 0 \leq \theta, \beta \leq \pi$. Let $U = diag(u,u,v) \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{H})$. Then we have

$$UAU^{-1} = diag(re^{\mathbf{i}\beta}, r^{-1}e^{\mathbf{i}\beta}, e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}),$$

This completes the proof of (i).

By Chen-Greenberg [4, Proposition 3.2.1] and Zhang [22, Corollary 6.2], if $A \in Sp(2,1)$ is elliptic, then A is conjugate to

$$E = E(\theta,\phi,\psi) = diag(e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}, e^{\mathbf{i}\phi}, e^{\mathbf{i}\psi}),\ 0 \leq \theta, \phi, \psi \leq \pi.$$

This completes the proof of (ii).

For the parabolic isometries, we have the following cases.

Case 1: All the right eigenvalues of A are similar.

Suppose A has no real right eigenvalue. Following Chen-Greenberg [4, Lemma 3.4.2], A is conjugate to

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ d & e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & f \\ g & 0 & e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\Re(e^{-\mathbf{i}\theta}d) = \frac{1}{2}|g|^2,\ f = e^{2\mathbf{i}\theta}\bar{g},\ 0 < \theta < \pi$.

If A is parabolic with real right eigenvalues then it is conjugate to

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ d & \lambda & f \\ \bar{f} & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda = \pm 1, \Re(\lambda d) = \frac{1}{2}|f|^2, f, d \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Let $u \in \mathbb{H}$ be such that $|u| = 1$ and $udu^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $uf = k_1 + k_2\mathbf{j}$ with $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v = \begin{cases} \frac{k_1}{|f|} + \frac{k_2}{|f|}\mathbf{j}, & f \neq 0; \\ 1, & f = 0. \end{cases}$ Then

$$BTB^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ udu^{-1} & \lambda & ufv^{-1} \\ v\bar{f}u^{-1} & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{C}),$$

where $B = diag(u, u, v) \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{H})$.

Case 2: The right eigenvalues of A fall into two similarity classes. Then A is conjugate to

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ d & e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & f \\ g & 0 & e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Re(e^{-\mathbf{i}\theta}d) = \frac{1}{2}\left|g\right|^2,\ f = e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}\bar{g}e^{\mathbf{i}\phi},\ d, g, f \in \mathbb{H}, 0 \leq \theta, \phi \leq \pi$ and $\theta \neq \phi$.

Let $f = f_1 + f_2\mathbf{j}$, where $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c = \frac{f_2}{e^{-\mathbf{i}\phi} - e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}}\mathbf{j}$, $q = \frac{|f_2|^2}{2|e^{-\mathbf{i}\phi} - e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}|^2}$. Then

$$T_1 = B_1TB_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ s & e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & f_1 \\ e^{\mathbf{i}\phi}\bar{f}_1e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & 0 & e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ q & 1 & \bar{c} \\ c & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and s may be not a complex number. Thus up to conjugacy, every element is of the form (3.3). Since $e^{i\theta} \neq e^{i\phi}$, an element of the form (3.3) is conjugate to

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ d & e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.4}$$

The conjugation is obtained by the map $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ t & 1 & \bar{c} \\ c & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\bar{c} = \frac{f}{e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} - e^{\mathbf{i}\phi}}, t = \frac{1}{2}|c|^2$. The proof now follows from Lemma 2.5. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The following proposition follows from the conjugacy classification.

Proposition 4.1. Let $A \in Sp(2,1)$, resp. $SU(2,1)$. The characteristic polynomial of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$, resp. $A_{\mathbb{R}}$, is of the form

$$\chi_A(x) = x^6 - a_5x^5 + a_4x^4 - a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 - a_1x + a_0,$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_1 = a_5$, $a_2 = a_4$, $a_0 = 1$.

Proof. Note that the characteristic polynomial is invariant under conjugation. Hence it is sufficient to consider $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ (resp. $A_{\mathbb{R}}$) up to conjugacy. From the conjugacy classification, cf. Theorem 3.1, we observe the following.

Hyperbolic isometries. Suppose A is conjugate to a matrix of the form (3.1) and the characteristic polynomial of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is

$$\chi_A(x) = (x^2 - 2\cos\theta\ x + 1)(x^2 - 2r\cos\beta\ x + r^2)(x^2 - \frac{2}{r}\cos\beta\ x + \frac{1}{r^2}).$$

Hence

$$a_0 = 1,\ a_1 = a_5 = 2(r + \frac{1}{r})\cos\beta + 2\cos\theta, \tag{4.1}$$

$$a_2 = a_4 = 4(r + \frac{1}{r})\cos\theta cos\beta + 4\cos^2\beta + r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} + 1, \tag{4.2}$$

$$a_3 = 4(r + \frac{1}{r})\cos\beta + 2(r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} + 4\cos^2\beta)\cos\theta. \tag{4.3}$$

Elliptic isometries. Suppose A is conjugate to an element of the form (3.2) and the characteristic polynomial of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is

$$\chi_A(x) = (x^2 - 2\cos\theta\ x + 1)(x^2 - 2\cos\phi\ x + 1)(x^2 - 2\cos\psi\ x + 1)$$

Hence

$$a_0 = 1,\ a_1 = a_5 = 2(\cos\theta + \cos\phi + \cos\psi),$$

$$a_2 = a_4 = 3 + 4(\cos\theta\cos\phi + \cos\phi\cos\psi + \cos\psi\cos\theta),$$

$$a_3 = 4(\cos\theta + \cos\phi + \cos\psi) + 8\cos\theta\cos\phi\cos\psi.$$

Parabolic isometries. Suppose A is conjugate to a matrix of the form (3.3) and the characteristic polynomial of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is

$$\chi_A(x) \quad = \quad (x^2 - 2\cos\theta\ x + 1)^2(x^2 - 2\cos\phi\ x + 1).$$

Hence

$$a_0 = 1,\ a_1 = a_5 = 2(2\cos\theta + \cos\phi),$$

$$a_2 = a_4 = 3 + 4\cos^2\theta + 8\cos\theta\cos\phi,$$

$$a_3 = 4(\cos\phi + 2\cos\theta) + 8\cos^2\theta\cos\phi.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1. □

The Proof of Theorem 1.1. Observe that

$$\chi_A(x) = x^6 - ax^5 + bx^4 - cx^3 + bx^2 - ax + 1$$

is a *self-dual* polynomial over $\mathbb{C}$, i.e. if α is a root of $\chi_A(x)$ then α^{-1} is also a root. Hence we can write $\chi_A(x) = x^3 g_A(x)$, where

$$g_A(x) = (x^3 + x^{-3}) - a(x^2 + x^{-2}) + b(x + x^{-1}) - c.$$

Further obsrve that $\chi_A(x)$ is, in fact, a polynomial over the reals. Hence if λ in $\mathbb{C}$ is a root of $\chi_A(x)$, then $\bar{\lambda}$ is also a root.

In the expression of $g_A(x)$, expanding the terms in brackets, we have

$$g_A(x) \quad = \quad (x + x^{-1})^3 - 3(x + x^{-1}) - a[(x + x^{-1})^2 - 2] + b(x + x^{-1}) - c.$$

Let $t = x + x^{-1}$. Then $g_A(x)$ is a cubic polynomial in t, and we denote it by $g_A(t)$, i.e.

$$g_A(t) = t^3 - at^2 + (b-3)t - (c - 2a). \tag{4.4}$$

Since $\chi_A(x)$ is a conjugacy invariant, so is $g_A(t)$. If α is a root of $\chi_A(x)$, then $\alpha + \alpha^{-1}$ is a root of $g_A(t)$. Note that for μ in $\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R}$, $\mu + \mu^{-1}$ is a real number if and only if the norm of μ is 1.

As in section 2.2.2, to detect the root of $g_A(t)$, let

$$\begin{aligned} G' &= (2a-c)-3.(\frac{-a}{3})(\frac{b-3}{3})+2(\frac{-a}{3})^3 \\ &= 2a-c+\frac{1}{3}a(b-3)-\frac{2}{27}a^3 \\ &= \frac{1}{27}[27(a-c)+9ab-2a^3]=\frac{1}{27}G, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H' &= \frac{1}{3}(b-3)-\frac{1}{9}a^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{9}[3(b-3)-a^2]=\frac{1}{9}H. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\Delta' = G'^2 + 4H'^2$, and $\Delta = 3^6\Delta' = G^2 + 4H^3$. Then Δ is the discriminant of the cubic equation (4.4). The multiplicity of a root of $g_A(t)$ is determined by the resultant $R(g, g'')$ of $g_A(t)$ and its second derivative $g_A''(t) = 6t - 2a$. We observe that

$$R(g, g'') = -8[27(a-c)+9ab-2a^3] = -8G.$$

Now consider an element A in $U(2, 1; \mathbb{F})$.

Suppose A is a hyperbolic. Then A is conjugate to an element of the form (3.1) and $g_A(t)$ has the following roots:

$$t_1 = re^{\mathbf{i}\beta} + r^{-1}e^{-\mathbf{i}\beta}, t_2 = r^{-1}e^{\mathbf{i}\beta} + re^{-\mathbf{i}\beta}, t_3 = 2\cos\theta.$$

(1) If $\beta \neq 0$, then $g_A(t)$ has one real root, and two non-real complex conjugate roots $\lambda+\lambda^{-1}$ and $\bar{\lambda}+\bar{\lambda}^{-1}$. Hence the norm of λ must be different from 1. Thus A must be a regular hyperbolic. It follows from section 2.2.2 that $\Delta > 0$ and $G \neq 0$ in this case.

(2) If $\beta = 0$, i.e. if A is a strictly hyperbolic or srew hyperbolic, then we have $\Delta = 0$, $G \neq 0$. Moreover note that $a = 2(r + \frac{1}{r}) + 2$. Since $r > 0$ and $r \neq 1$, $r + \frac{1}{r} > 2$, hence $a > 6$. Similarly, $b > 15$, $c > 20$.

Now S is strictly hyperbolic if and only if $\theta = 0$ or π. Now using (4.1)– (4.3) we have the desired conclusion.

Suppose A is elliptic. Then A is conjugate to an element of the form (3.2) and $g_A(t)$ has the following roots:

$$t_1 = 2\cos\theta, t_2 = 2\cos\phi, t_3 = 2\cos\psi.$$

(3) If A is regular elliptic, then all the roots of $g_A(t)$ are real and distinct from each-other. Hence it follows from section 2.2.2 that $\Delta < 0$.
(4) If A is a complex elliptic or simple elliptic, then $g_A(t)$ has at least one repeated root, hence $\Delta = 0$. Note that A is a simple elliptic if and only if $g_A(t)$ has a triple root, i.e. $R(g, g'') = 0$. Thus A is a simple elliptic if and only if $G = 0$. Hence if A is complex elliptic, then $G \neq 0$. Observe that in these cases, $|a| < 6$, $|b| < 15$, $|c| < 20$.

Suppose A is parabolic. Then it is conjugate to an element of the form (3.3). Hence all the roots of $g_A(t)$ are real. They are given by

$$t_1 = 2\cos\theta, t_2 = 2\cos\theta, t_3 = 2\cos\phi.$$

Since at least two of the roots are equal, hence $\Delta = 0$.
(5) If A is an ellipto-translation or ellipto-parabolic, then $g_A(t)$ has a triple root, hence $G = 0$.
(6) If A is screw parabolic, then $g_A(t)$ has a double root which is distinct from the third one, hence $G \neq 0$.
(7) If A is unipotent then $\theta = 0 = \phi$. Hence $a = 6$, $b = 15$, $c = 20$. Otherwise we have $|a| < 6$, $|b| < 15$, $|c| < 20$.

From the representative of the conjugacy class of A it is clear that the elliptic and hyperbolic elements are semisimple. If A is simple elliptic, then the minimal polynomial of A is linear. If A is complex elliptic, then its minimal polynomial is of the form $(x-\lambda)(x-\mu)$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Hence the degree of the minimal polynomial is 2. Parabolic isometries are not semisimple. We see that A is an ellipto-translation or ellipto-parabolic according to its minimal polynomial is of the form $(x-\lambda)^2$ or $(x-\lambda)^3$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$. In particular, A is a vertical or non-vertical Heisenberg translation according to its minimal polynomial is $(x-1)^2$ or $(x-1)^3$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5. The Centralizers and the z-classes: proof of Theorem 1.3

Let $Z(x)$ denote the centralizer of x in $U(2,1;\mathbb{F})$, and $Z_G(x)$ denote the centralizer of x in the subgroup G of $U(2,1;\mathbb{F})$. Let T be an isometry of $\mathbb{V}^{2,1}$. An invariant (right) subspace $\mathbb{W}$ of $\mathbb{V}^{2,1}$ is called *T-indecomposable* if it can not be expressed as a direct sum of two proper T-invariant (right) subspaces. Let $\oplus$ denote the orthogonal sum.

5.1. **Elliptic isometries.** (1) Consider $E = E(\theta, \phi, \psi)$ with $\theta \neq \phi \neq \psi$. In this case $\mathbb{V}^{2,1}$ has a decomposition into one dimensional E-invariant subspaces: $\mathbb{V}^{2,1} = l_1 \oplus l_2 \oplus l_3$. Hence $Z(E) = Z(E|_{l_1}) \times Z(E|_{l_2}) \times Z(E|_{l_3})$. This implies, $Z(E) = Z_{U(1;\mathbb{F})}(e^{i\theta}) \times Z_{U(1;\mathbb{F})}(e^{i\phi}) \times Z_{U(1;\mathbb{F})}(e^{i\psi})$. When $\theta \neq 0$, the centralizer of $e^{i\theta}$ in $U(1;\mathbb{F})$ is the group $\mathbb{S}^1$ of all complex numbers of unit modulus. Hence

$$Z(E) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \ \text{ if } \theta, \phi, \psi \neq 0, \pi \\ \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{F}^1 \text{ if one of } \theta, \phi, \psi = 0 \text{ or } \pi \\ \mathbb{F}^1 \times \mathbb{F}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \text{ if any two of } \theta, \phi, \psi \text{ belongs to the set } \{0, \pi\}. \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{F}^1$ is the multiplicative group consisting of elements of $\mathbb{F}$ of unit modulus. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{F}^1 = \mathbb{S}^1$, when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbb{F}^1 = \mathbb{S}^3$.
(2) Let $\theta = \phi = \psi$. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $E = e^{i\theta} I$ is an element in the center of $U(2,1)$, hence $Z(E) = U(2,1)$. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$,

$$Z(E) = \begin{cases} U(2,1) \ \text{ if } \theta \neq 0, \pi \\ Sp(2,1) \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(3) Suppose $\phi = \psi \neq \theta$. In this case,

$$Z(E) = Z_{U(1;\mathbb{F})}(e^{i\theta}) \times Z_{U(2;\mathbb{F})}(e^{i\phi} I_2).$$

When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $Z(E) = \mathbb{S}^1 \times U(2)$. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$,

$$Z(E) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^1 \times U(2) \ \text{ if } \phi \neq 0, \pi, \theta \neq 0, \\ \mathbb{S}^1 \times Sp(2) \ \text{ if } \theta \neq 0, \phi = 0, \pi, \\ \mathbb{S}^3 \times U(2) \text{ if } \theta = 0, \pi, \phi \neq 0, \pi, \\ \mathbb{S}^3 \times Sp(2) \ \text{ if } \theta = 0, \ \text{resp. } \pi, \phi = \pi, \ \text{resp. } 0 \end{cases}$$

Suppose $\phi = \theta \neq \psi$ (or $\psi = \theta \neq \phi$). In this case we have an orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{W}_2 \oplus \mathbb{W}_1$, where $\dim \mathbb{W}_2 = 2$, $E|_{\mathbb{W}_2} = e^{i\theta} I_2$, $E|_{\mathbb{W}_1}(v) = e^{i\psi} v$, where v generates the one dimensional subspace $\mathbb{W}_1$ and restriction of $\langle , \rangle$ on $\mathbb{W}_2$ has signature $(1,1)$.

When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $Z(E) = U(1,1) \times \mathbb{S}^1$. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$,

$$Z(E) = \begin{cases} U(1,1) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \ \text{ if } \theta \neq 0, \\ Sp(1,1) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \ \text{ if } \theta = 0 \end{cases}$$

Given any elliptic element T, up to conjugacy, there are the above choices for $Z(T)$. Hence there are four z-classes of elliptic elements in $U(2,1)$, and eleven z-classes of elliptic elements in $Sp(2,1)$. In this count we have taken the identity map as an elliptic element.

5.2. **Hyperbolic isometries.** Consider $L = L(\beta, \theta)$. In this case L decomposes $\mathbb{V}^{2,1}$ orthogonally into L-invariant subspaces: $\mathbb{V}^{2,1} = \mathbb{V}_2 \oplus \mathbb{V}_1$, where $\mathbb{V}_2$ is a L-indecomposable subspace of $\mathbb{V}^{2,1}$ and restriction of $\langle , \rangle$ on $\mathbb{V}_2$ has signature $(1,1)$. Hence $Z(L) = Z_{U(1,1;\mathbb{F})}(L|_{\mathbb{V}_2}) \oplus Z_{U(1;\mathbb{F})}(L|_{\mathbb{V}_1})$. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$, $Z_{Sp(1)}(L|_{\mathbb{V}_1}) = \mathbb{S}^1$ or $Sp(1)$ according to $\theta \neq 0$, or $\theta = 0$. For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $Z_{U(1)}(L|_{\mathbb{V}_1}) = \mathbb{S}^1$. Now, the group $U(1,1;\mathbb{F})$ is locally isomorphic to $PO_o(1,4)$, resp. $PO_o(1,2)$ for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$, resp. $\mathbb{C}$, and L acts as a hyperbolic element. Thus the centralizers of $L|_{\mathbb{V}_2}$ is obtained from [10]. Hence, given any hyperbolic element T, there is an associated orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{V}^{2,1} = \mathbb{W}_2 \oplus \mathbb{W}_1$ into T-invariant subspaces as above, and we have

$$Z(T) = Z_{U(1,1;\mathbb{F})}(T|_{\mathbb{W}_2}) \times Z_{U(1;\mathbb{F})}(T|_{\mathbb{W}_1}).$$

When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, up to conjugacy, there is a unique choice for $Z_{U(1,1)}(T|_{\mathbb{W}_2})$, and $Z_{U(1)}(T|_{\mathbb{W}_1})$. Hence there is a unique z-class of hyperbolic isometries of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$, up to conjugacy, there are three choices for $Z_{Sp(1,1)}(T|_{\mathbb{W}_2})$ (cf. Gongopadhyay-Kulkarni [10]), and from above we see that there are two choices for $Z_{Sp(1)}(T|_{\mathbb{W}_1})$. Hence there are six z-classes of hyperbolic isometries of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{H}}$.

5.3. **Parabolic isometries.** Let P be of the form (3.3). Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \\ a_7 & a_8 & a_9 \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F})$ be an element in $Z(P)$. It follows from $PA(\infty) = AP(\infty) = A(\infty)$ that $A \in G_\infty$, which implies that $a_2 = a_3 = a_8 = 0$, $\bar{a_1}a_5 = 1, |a_9| = 1, \Re(\bar{a_1}a_4) = \frac{1}{2}|a_7|^2$ and $a_6 = a_5\bar{a_7}a_9$. By $AP = PA$, we get the following identities:

$$a_1 e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} = e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} a_1, a_9 e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} = e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} a_9, \tag{5.1}$$

$$a_5 f + a_6 e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} = e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} a_6 + f a_9, a_7 e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} + a_9 g = g a_1 + e^{\mathbf{i}\phi} a_7, \tag{5.2}$$

$$a_4 e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} + a_5 d + a_6 g = e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} a_4 + d a_1 + f a_7. \tag{5.3}$$

5.3.1. *The unipotent isometries.* A unipotent isometry is conjugate to

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ s & 1 & \bar{a} \\ a & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}|a|^2$, and a, s are complex numbers.
(1) $a = 0$, i.e. U is a translation. Then $Z(U)$ is given by

$$Z(U) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & a_1 & a_1\bar{a_7}a_9 \\ a_7 & 0 & a_9 \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F}),\ a_1 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Hence there is a unique z-class of translations in $Sp(2,1)$, resp. $U(2,1)$.
(2) $a \neq 0$, i.e. U is a strictly parabolic. In this case $\mathbb{V}^{2,1}$ is U-indecomposable. Let $S = U - I$, where I is the identity map. Let $V_U = (U - I)(\mathbb{V}^{2,1})$. Following Wall [20], we call it the *space* of U. Since U is strictly parabolic, kernel of $(U - I)$ is non-empty and is generated by a vector of norm zero. Hence V_U is of dimension 2 over $\mathbb{H}$. The space V_U can be equipped with a non-degenerate Hermitian form F_U, cf. Wall [20, p-6], given by

$$F_U(u, v) + \bar{F}_U(v, u) = \langle u, v \rangle.$$

We call it the *form* of U. The element U is uniquely determined by V_U and F_U. The center $Z(U)$ is the isometry group of (V_U, F_U). Two strictly parabolic elements are in the same z-class if and only if their forms are equivalent.

Now the nondegenerate Hermitian forms over $\mathbb{H}$ are determined up to equivalence by their rank and signature cf. Lewis [15, p-264]. Since $\langle , \rangle$ has nonzero signature, hence the form of an isometry of $\mathbb{H}^{2,1}$ has nonzero signature. Now, there is a unique nondegenerate Hermitian form of nonzero signature on a two dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{H}$, viz. of type $(1,1)$. Hence, there is a unique z-class of strictly parabolic elements in $Sp(2,1)$. Alternatively, we see that for any U as above, up to conjugacy, $Z(U)$ is given by

$$Z(U) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & a_1 & a_1\bar{a_7}aa_1a^{-1} \\ a_7 & 0 & aa_1a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F}) \right\}.$$

Hence it follows that there is a unique z-class of strictly parabolic elements. Similarly, there is a unique z-class of strictly parabolic elements in $U(2,1)$.

To determine the z-classes of ellipto-translations, ellipto-parabolics and screw-parabolics, we explicitly compute their centralizers in the following.

5.3.2. *The ellipto-translations.* Let P be an ellipto-translation. Without loss of generality assume

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ d & e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix}, d \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \Re(e^{-i\theta}d) = 0.$$

(3) Let $\theta = \pi$, i.e. $e^{i\theta} = -1$. We denote P by T in this case. Then $Z(T)$ is given by

$$Z(T) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & a_1 & a_1\bar{a_7}a_9 \\ a_7 & 0 & a_9 \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F}),\ a_1 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

(4) Let $\theta \neq \pi$, i.e. $e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} \notin \mathbb{R}$. We denote P by T_c in this case. Using the property $z\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}\bar{z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and the equalities (5.1)-(5.3), we obtain the elements of $Z(T_c)$ belong to $\hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{C})$. Therefore,

$$Z(T_c) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & a_1 & a_1\bar{a_7}a_9 \\ a_7 & 0 & a_9 \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

5.3.3. *The ellipto-parabolics.* Suppose P is an ellipto-parabolic element. Without loss of generality, assume (for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$)

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 & f \\ d & e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ g & 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix},\ 0 < \theta \leq \pi, d \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\},\ f, g \in \mathbb{C},\ \Re(e^{-i\theta}d) = \frac{1}{2}|g^2|,\ f = e^{2i\theta}\bar{g}.$$

For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$.

(5) Let $e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} = -1$. We denote P by T_o in this case. Then $Z(T_o)$ is given by

$$Z(T_o) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & a_1 & a_1\bar{a_7}ga_1g^{-1} \\ a_7 & 0 & ga_1g^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F}) \right\}.$$

(6) Let $e^{\mathbf{i}\theta} \notin \mathbb{R}$. We denote P by T_θ in this case. As in the ellipto-translation case, we know that $Z(T_\theta) \subset \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{C})$. By (5.1)-(5.3), we obtain $a_9 = ga_1g^{-1}$ and $a_6g = fa_7$. If $a_6 \neq 0$, we have

$$a_6 = a_1\bar{a_7}a_9 = a_1\bar{a_7}ga_1g^{-1} = fa_7g^{-1},$$

which implies $a_1 = \pm\frac{e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}a_7\bar{g}}{|g||a_7|}$. Therefore,

$$Z(T_\theta) = \left\{ A \in \hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{C}) \mid A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}a_7\bar{g}}{|g||a_7|} & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & \frac{e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}a_7\bar{g}}{|g||a_7|} & fa_7g^{-1} \\ a_7 & 0 & \frac{e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}a_7\bar{g}}{|g||a_7|} \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

5.3.4. *The screw-parabolic elements.* We assume, without loss of generality, assume (for $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{H}$)

$$P=\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0\\ d & e^{i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\phi}\end{pmatrix},\ d\in\mathbb{C}-\{0\},\ \Re(e^{-i\theta}d)=0,\ 0\le\theta,\phi\le\pi.$$

For $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$, $-\pi\le\theta,\phi\le\pi$. Then by the equalities (5.1)-(5.3), we have the following cases.

(7) Let $e^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}\in\mathbb{R}$. We denote P by P_o in this case. It can be seen that

$$Z(P_o)=\left\{A=\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0\\ a_4 & a_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & a_9\end{pmatrix}\mid A\in\hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F}),\ a_1\in\mathbb{C}\right\}.$$

(8) Let $e^{i\theta}\in\mathbb{R},\ e^{i\phi}\notin\mathbb{R}$. Denote P by $P_{o,\phi}$ in this case. Then

$$Z(P_{o,\phi})=\left\{A=\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0\\ a_4 & a_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & a_9\end{pmatrix}\mid A\in\hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F}),\ a_1,a_9\in\mathbb{C}\right\}.$$

(9) Let $e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}\notin\mathbb{R}, e^{\mathbf{i}\phi}\in\mathbb{R}$. Denote P by $P_{\theta,o}$ in this case. Obviously, $a_1,a_5\in\mathbb{C}$. By $a_4e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}+a_5d=da_1+e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}a_4$, we get $a_4\in\mathbb{C}$, which in turn gives $a_1=a_5$. Therefore,

$$Z(P_{\theta,o})=\left\{A=\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0\\ a_4 & a_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & a_9\end{pmatrix}\mid A\in\hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{F}),\ a_1,a_4\in\mathbb{C}\right\}.$$

(10) Let $e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}, e^{\mathbf{i}\phi}\notin\mathbb{R}$. Denote P by $P_{\theta,\phi}$ in this case. We see that

$$Z(P_{\theta,\phi})=\left\{A=\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0\\ a_4 & a_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & a_9\end{pmatrix}\mid A\in\hat{U}(2,1;\mathbb{C})\right\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{H}$. From the description of the centralizers we see that there are exactly two choices for the centralizers of ellipto-translations, as well as for the ellipto-parabolics, and there are four choices for the centralizer of a screw-parabolic. Hence there are two z-classes of ellipto-translations, two z-classes of the ellipto-parabolics, and four z-classes of the screw-parabolic elements.

Similarly, When $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$, there is a unique z-class of ellipto-translations, two z-classes of ellipto-parabolics and a unique z-class of screw-parabolic elements.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgement. *We thank Elisha Falbel, Ravi Kulkarni and John Parker for their comments on this work. Thanks especially to John Parker for his numerous suggestions on a first draft of this paper.*

References

[1] L. V. Ahlfors, *On the fixed points of Möbius transformations in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **10** (1985), 15–27.

[2] A. F. Beardon, *The geometry of discrete groups*, Berlin, New York: Spring-Verlag, 1983.

[3] W. S. Cao, J. R. Parker and X. T. Wang, *On the classification of quaternionic Möbius transformations.* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **137** (2004), 349–361.

[4] S. S. Chen and L. Greenberg, *Hyperbolic spaces*, Contributions to analysis. Academic Press. New York. 49–87 (1974)

[5] D.B.A. Epstein, *Complex hyperbolic geometry.* Analytical and Geometric Aspects of Hyperbolic Space, ed. D.B.A. Epstein, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series 111 (1987), 93–111.

[6] B. Foreman, *Conjugacy invariants of* $SL(2,\mathbb{H})$. Linear Algebra Appl. **381** (2004), 25–35.

[7] G. Giraud, *Sur certaines fonctions automorphes de deux variables.* (French). Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **38** (1921), 43–164.

[8] W. M. Goldman, *Complex Hyperbolic Geometry.* Oxford University Press 1999.

[9] K. Gongopadhyay, *Algebraic characterization of the isometries of the hyperbolic* 5*-space.* Geom. Dedicata, (1) **144** (2010), 157–170.

[10] K. Gongopadhyay and R. S. Kulkarni, *z-Classes of isometries of the hyperbolic space.* Conform. Geom. Dyn. **13** (2009), 91–109.

[11] L. P. Huang and W. So, *On left eigenvalues of a quaternionic matrix.* Linear Algebra Appl. **323** (2001), 105–116

[12] I. Kim and J. R. Parker, *Geometry of quaternionic hyperbolic manifolds.* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **135** (2003), 291–320.

[13] R. S. Kulkarni, *Dynamical types and conjugacy classes of centralizers in groups.* J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. (1) **22** (2007), 35–56.

[14] H. C. Lee, *Eigenvalues and canonical forms of matrices with quaternion coefficients.* Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A. **52** (1949), 253–260.

[15] D. W. Lewis, The isometry classification of Hermitian forms over division algebras. Linear Algebra Appl. **43** (1982), 245–272.

[16] R. W. D. Nickalls, *A new approach to solving the cubic: Cardan's solution revealed*, The Mathematical Gazette, **77** (1993), 354–359.

[17] R. W. D. Nickalls and R. Dye, *The geometry of the discriminant of a polynomial.* The Mathematical Gazette, **80** (1996), 279–285.

[18] J. R. Parker and I. D. Platis, *Complex hyperbolic quasi-fuchsian groups*, Geometry of Riemann, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes, **368** (2010) 309-355

[19] R. Schwartz, *Spherical CR geometry and Dehn surgery*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 165, Princeton University Press, 2007.

[20] G. E. Wall, *On the conjugacy classes in the unitary, symplectic and orthogonal groups*, J Austral. Math Soc. **3** (1963), 1–63.

[21] P. L. Waterman, *Möbius groups in several dimensions.* Adv. in Math. **101** (1993), 87–113.

[22] F. Zhang, *Quaternions and matrices of quaternions.* Linear Algebra Appl. **251** (1997), 21–57.

School of Mathematics and Computational Science, Wuyi University, Jiangmen, Guangdong 529020, P.R. China
E-mail address: `wenscao@yahoo.com.cn`

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Mohali, Transit Campus: MGSIPAP Complex, Sector-26, Chandigarh 160019, India
E-mail address: `krishnendu@iisermohali.ac.in, krishnendug@gmail.com`